

REMARKS

Applicants have amended claims 1, 7 and 13. The Examiner has rejected claims 1-18 under section 112 for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicants would like to take the Examiner's attention to the Specification portion of the application, and more specifically pages 10-12 for support regarding the search excursion over a first and second frames. Applicants hope the Examiner is satisfied with the detailed description, in as much the specification describes the condition when the search excursion occurs over a frame boundary.

With respect to the rejection of the claims under section 103(a), Applicants believe the independent claims 1, 7 and 13 are in a condition for allowance over the cited references. Accordingly, Applicants request examination of the claims in the amended form. For the double patenting rejection, the Examiner relies on the reference Czaja (US 6,078,570) for obviousness type double patenting rejection. Applicants believe the amended claims have overcome the double patenting rejection, since Czaja is also used for the rejection under section 103(a).

REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that all pending claims in the application are patentable. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 24, 2005

By: 

S. Hossain Beladi, Reg. No. 42,311
(858) 651-4470

QUALCOMM Incorporated
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502